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Abstract:

Legal process outsourcing (“LPO”) involves the use of foreign lawyers to conduct, perform and apply domestic law, most often for cost-saving purposes. Large, global firms have already begun to embrace the concept of LPO, and small firms and sole practitioners are increasingly reaching out to foreign firms, seeking more efficient, lower-cost providers. Ethical considerations, liability limiting agreements, ERISA compliance, certification and oversight models are all part of the LPO landscape. This paper discusses these aspects, as well as issues related to outsourcing in non-traditional areas such as the public sector and the judiciary.
I. OUTSOURCING OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION

In the words of Thomas Friedman\(^1\), outsourcing is flattening the world as we know it.\(^2\) As the world becomes “flatter,” the playing field levels, forcing businesses to compete both nationally and internationally. Outsourcing\(^3\) is just one of the many ways in which business organizations are attempting to better situate themselves in the global market. Even professions such as medicine, which were thought to require face to face interaction between the doctor and the patient, can now be practiced remotely with the help of evolving technologies.\(^4\) Technology facilitates the division and distribution of tasks to those able to most efficiently accomplish them, regardless of their location.

The legal profession has also benefitted from the advent of new technologies, enabling attorneys throughout the globe to work together for mutual advantage. However, some American companies are reluctant to have their legal work performed by a company that is not located in the United States, because of possible negative press and correlative public backlash.\(^5\)

---


\(^2\) According to Friedman, apart from outsourcing, there are nine other major "flatteners" leveling the global playing field: 1) The collapse of Berlin Wall leading to the end of the Cold War and allowing previously excluded parties into the economic mainstream, 2) Netscape’s increase in internet availability to all and accompanying media digitization, 3) Networking software allowing computers to communicate with each other without the need for human interface, 4) Open source communities, such as Wikipedia, that allow for public comment, 5) Offshoring and outsourcing outside one’s home country, 6) Increases in supply chain management and technology, 7) Insourcing, 8) Informing, an aspect of the internet, that allows information to be readily accessed and transmitted by virtually anyone, and 9) Personal digital devices such as iPods, cellular telephones and PDAs that allow people to access information, computers, and people from remote locations. See id. at 50-200.

\(^3\) Outsourcing is arranging for work to be done by someone else, based on reasons of cost, competence, or convenience. In this paper, the authors are most often referring to offshore outsourcing which involves outsourcing activities to foreign countries; however, many of the ideas expressed here can also be applied to domestic outsourcing, otherwise known as homesourcing, farmsourcing, or onshoring.


Nonetheless, many corporations are setting up captive centers, or relocating part of their organization’s legal department to countries such as India where labor cost is often fifteen to twenty percent of what their U.S. counterparts charge. This is known as legal process outsourcing (“LPO”).

While global law firms, those already engaged in multinational activities, have been engaging in LPO since around 2001, small firms and sole practitioners have largely ignored this trend. Indeed, many domestic firms have only recently considered outsourcing their back office activities, let alone their legal activities. This paper describes emerging trends in the LPO arena, along with the associated legal, regulatory, and professional issues.

II. HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE AND CURRENT EXAMPLES

Outsourcing of legal services is a relatively new concept. The first law firm to outsource legal work was the Dallas firm of Bickel & Brewer in 1995, but it was not until 2001, according to Anita Jain, that the first U.S. Corporation, GE Plastics, entered into LPO arrangements. GE Plastics used its in-house counsel, alongside management, to interview and then supervise the Gugoan, India-based lawyers the firm had hired. Over a two-year period, GE reported saving “nearly $2 million in legal fees that otherwise would have gone to outside counsel.” In 2006, Nasscom published a report predicting the rapid increase of worldwide LPO while estimating the market potential for legal services outsourceable from the US to be approximately $3-4 billion. At the time of the release of this report, Nasscom estimated that only two to three percent of this market had been tapped ($60-80 million). Although a majority of the work LPOs

LAW FIRMS, LEGALEASE SOLUTIONS, LLC,


8 It should be noted that Rahul Jindal, a prominent LPO blogger, places the first instance of legal work being outsourced to India to have occurred in 1995. See Arin Greenwood, Manhattan Work at Mumbai Prices: Inside India’s Hottest Legal Outsourcing Firm, 93 A.B.A. J., Oct. 2007, at 36, available at http://www.abajournal.com/magazine/manhattan_work_at_mumbai_prices/.

9 Flahardy, supra, note 6, ¶ 4.


have done to date has been low-level tasks such as transcriptions, document conversions and legal data entry, there is a gradual shift towards higher value services. Based on these numbers, industry analysts believe that the LPO market will increase, rapidly reaching $11.5 billion by 2010.

The first U.S. law firm to open an office overseas, Bickel & Brewer, established an office in Hyderabad, India in 1995. The project’s founders explained that this was initially a solution to “handling the millions of pieces of information that confront [them] in each case.” Bickel & Brewer’s outsourcing arm has now become Imaging & Abstract International, which handles work not only for Bickel & Brewer, but also for other firms looking to outsource legal work abroad.

DuPont is another example of a large U.S. corporation that has entered into LPO arrangements. In 2006 DuPont entered into an arrangement with hired lawyers in the Philippines to work twenty-four hours a day (in three, eight hour shifts), seven days a week. At that time, according to Ramona E. Romero, DuPont Corporate Counsel and Partnering Manager, much of the legal processes the company was interested in outsourcing, consisted of “document review and coding to capture basic content information and to make preliminary privilege and confidentiality calls. [It] is not rocket science but does require some judgment.” Two years later, RR Donnelly, which purchased Office Tiger who originally had the DuPont outsourcing contract, performed “high-level review services, mostly in the areas of litigation review and records review.” Although DuPont now outsources both low and

---

15 Laxmi Devi, supra note 7.
16 Id.
17 Pete Engardio & Assif Shameen, Let’s Offshore the Lawyers, BUS. WEEK, Sept. 18, 2006, at 42.
19 India - Service Providers; An Outpost In India Serving DuPont Legal, METRO. CORP. COUNS., Nov. 1, 2008, available at
high-level legal processes, DuPont has still retained in-house counsel to maintain internal management of legal processes.\(^{20}\)

When Rhodia, a French chemical production company, had an influx of work in 2004, it chose to contract with Accenture to handle some of its accounting and legal processes. Accenture in turn outsourced that work to the Czech Republic.\(^{21}\) By using a shared service center, Rhodia, in partnership with Accenture was able to realize a cost reduction of over thirty-five percent by 2006.\(^{22}\)

More recently, production houses like 20th Century Fox, Sony Pictures and Universal Studios are working with SDD Global Solutions, headquartered in India, who will support the legal requirements of their movie releases. SDD Global, a LPO firm with forty attorneys, is already preparing arguments and counter-arguments to support some of the most complex legal issues in Hollywood. The company has signed to work on three global movie releases and will be handling its pre-and post-production legal issues.\(^{23}\) Additionally, the widespread financial downturn may lead previously disinterested firms to look toward LPO. Attempting to “do more with less,” firms providing Chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization services are likely to look towards LPO to enable them to handle the “deluge” that many are predicting.\(^{24}\)

**LPO Host Countries**

Although India is the biggest and most common country to receive legal outsourced work, other countries like the Philippines, Australia, China, and South Korea are also in the market of offshore LPO. As India’s costs have risen fifteen percent within the past few years, other Asian LPO locations have gained in popularity. In particular, the Philippines has become an attractive alternative for U.S. and British firms for a variety of reasons. The Philippines has high marks for its large, educated, English-speaking talent pool. The Philippines is an appealing location because the Philippines was an American colony, and it contributes

\[^{20}\text{Id.}\]
\[^{22}\text{Id. at 6.}\]
\[^{24}\text{Stephen D. Kong, Preparing For the Bankruptcy ‘Wave’: Using Legal Process Outsourcing Before, During and After Chapter 11, METRO. CORP. COUNS. (Northeast), June 2008, at 20.}\]
to cultural resemblance and a legal system based on U.S. law.\textsuperscript{25} Conversely, the Philippines lacks infrastructure compared to other locations. Other locations, such as Australia and South Africa, are similarly attractive because of their history as British colonies and hence, the British common-law legal system.\textsuperscript{26}

\textit{Virtual Firms and the Small Practitioner}

Large U.S. international firms take advantage of LPO because they “have the critical mass to justify the time and expense of everything necessary--from selecting and developing relationships with outsourcing companies, deciding what work will be outsourced, setting up systems and procedures to coordinate the work-flow processes, and setting up the technical infrastructure (both at home and abroad) to support the flow of information and documents.”\textsuperscript{27} However, small to medium-sized firms have yet to enter the LPO market in force.

An exception to the general hesitancy on the part of sole, small and medium-sized legal practitioners to enter into LPO relationships has been the virtual firm. Virtual law firms first came into the modern lexicon in the mid-1990s.\textsuperscript{28} With no physical offices, virtual firms interface with clients almost entirely via the internet and other electronic methods of communication such as email and teleconference. For example, the Rimon Law Group set up a virtual firm so that its clients can access lower-priced attorneys in Israel.\textsuperscript{29} Rimon describes its LPO virtual firm process as follows:

When a potential client first contacts Rimon, the client speaks with a managing attorney in Rimon’s San Francisco headquarters. The managing attorney provides a free initial consultation to evaluate the client's legal needs and helps the client decide which specializing attorney or team of attorneys best suits those


\textsuperscript{27} K. William Gibson, \textit{Outsourcing Spotlight: Outsourcing Legal Services Abroad: A Trend Grows Into a Phenomenon (Like It or Not)}, LAW PRAC. MAG., July 2008, at 47.


needs. From then on, Rimon's clients deal directly with their specializing attorneys, which fosters the development of a strong and personalized attorney-client relationship. Throughout this process, the client can continue to approach the managing attorney in San Francisco with questions or for assistance.\textsuperscript{30}

This is just one particular operational structure, but since what makes virtual firms attractive is their flexibility, then any structure that improves efficiency and lowers overhead expenses could be utilized.

According to a 2005 article in the Delaware Lawyer, “the virtual firm structure is critical for law firms to adopt in order to succeed in the years ahead.”\textsuperscript{31} While virtual law firms may have become more common, traditional firms have held on to their physical offices for a variety of reasons. First, a client’s perception of a firm may be influenced by the firm’s physical manifestation – its office and its staff. Clients may prefer face-to-face interaction and attorneys may prefer having a physical office, away from the home, in which to conduct business. Additionally, attorneys often work collaboratively, discussing cases and issues with each other to come up with solutions to client problems. Whether any of these considerations will continue to outweigh the benefits of virtual firms remains to be seen, but as small firms embrace the idea of relegating some of its operations to the electronic field, they will no doubt become more comfortable with LPO as well.

\textit{Medical Experts}

A new, interesting area combining LPO services with technology advancements is that of medical malpractice and personal injury litigation.\textsuperscript{32} Perhaps more than in any other area of law, medical malpractice and personal injury require extensive medical research and hiring of expensive experts to prove one’s case. For instance, in a case regarding an automobile accident, the plaintiff may want an expert on human responses to impacts to testify to the expected types of injuries that would be incurred in that sort of crash. Medical experts are needed to testify on the standards of care; there may be several of them depending upon the medical specialties of treating physicians and the various facilities where the plaintiff was treated. Additionally, these cases

\textsuperscript{30} Id.
are usually taken on contingent fee basis, with the lawyer being paid a portion of the amount of settlement at the conclusion of the litigation.

Experts, medical and otherwise, often charge more per hour than the attorneys hiring them and thus can add considerable expense and even serve as a barrier to litigation. The hiring of doctors licensed outside of the U.S. can significantly decrease this cost. For example, MDinaBox, based out of Ocala, Florida provides medical experts located in India for personal injury and medical malpractice plaintiffs. The uniqueness of MDinaBox is that “[i]t provides lawyers real-time access to medical doctors in India during deposition or trial while they cross-examine the opposing doctor.”

III. PUBLIC SECTOR LEGAL PROCESS OUTSOURCING

LPO is less prevalent in the public sector. Many municipalities have written and unwritten policies that government contracts should favor local companies. If this is impractical, then in-state companies or alternatively U.S. corporations are used. While such protectionist practices may not be legal as an impermissible hindrance to interstate commerce, these policies are nonetheless put in place for a variety of public policy considerations. For instance, any legal position outsourced -- at city level, county level, or in office of the attorney general -- represents a job lost by a member of the voting public. On the other hand, the adoption of LPO practices leads to lower costs and the cost savings can be passed on to the taxpayer.

Outsourcing in the Judiciary

The high cost of our nation’s judiciary and the backlog that it can never seem to eliminate are just two of the reasons U.S. courts should look at the benefits of outsourcing. Courts have long outsourced non-core functions such as transcription services and processing of traffic tickets. Ingo Keilitz, past president of the

34 Id.
37 Rachanee Srisavasdi, County Supervisor Opposes Court Outsourcing, OC REGISTER, July 27, 2007, available at
National Center for State Courts and head of CourtMetrics, a private consulting firm, proposed the idea of outsourcing court performance measurement systems in his blog in 2006. However, none of what has been proposed or outsourced to date constitutes higher-level legal tasks.

Most judges’ chambers are relatively small operations. From top to bottom, they consist of the judge, his or her permanent clerk (usually one, but maybe two), administrative secretary, judicial externs (also known as temporary law clerks), and courtroom personnel. Courtroom personnel consist of a bailiff, a court reporter, and U.S. Marshals in the federal courts. City judges, magistrates, and justices of the peace may operate with a much leaner staff.

Legal work begins with the judicial externs who review the case documents and condense and brief them for review by the law clerk. Judicial externs are typically unpaid law students. Because they must attend classes during the year, judicial externs are in limited supply except during the summer months. Additionally, they are not attorneys and must often spend many hours educating themselves as to various topics of law and procedure in order to begin projects on which they are working.

The clerk’s primary responsibility is to read the motions presented to the court, brief the issues, look at the record, and present this information in a condensed format to the judge. Some judges also have the judicial externs write the first draft of the opinion that is reviewed by the permanent clerk and then by the judge. If a judge were able to hire additional judicial externs and

---

clerks, this would greatly increase the speed with which a judge is able to respond to motions.

One of the counter arguments to outsourcing judicial clerking work abroad is that this is an important training ground for law students to become successful lawyers or permanent clerks. LPO will not obviate a judge’s need for an in-chambers clerk. This is because there are many aspects of cases that must be dealt with in real time. For example, if an evidentiary issue arises during trial, the concerned legal issue will need to be researched while the judge takes a recess. Having to package such a task and send it to someone located abroad would most likely take more time than the research effort itself. Instead, LPO of initial review of motions made to the court should free the clerk and the judge to examine the more difficult issues and cases, rather than to try and manage their dockets. Furthermore, the domestic clerk, upon whom the judge ultimately relies, will be tasked with reviewing the work of the LPO clerks. Thus, while LPO may result in their being fewer clerking positions within the court, it will not obviate their need completely. Additionally, following their first year of law school, many students take unpaid positions with the courts. These positions, as they cost nothing to the court and serve the public purpose of professional edification, are unlikely to disappear.

One of the counter arguments to outsourcing legal work abroad is that the sluggishness of our nation’s judiciaries is a feature rather than a problem; it is a tool for lawyers and the litigants, as well as a valuable deterrent. Long wait times for judicial opinions give parties’ time to settle matters amongst themselves, rather than by court decree. Additionally, the knowledge of the length of the judicial process may deter frivolous suits. Of course, it may also deter valid suits that simply cannot afford the expense of protracted litigation.

Although outsourcing of judicial functions may appear farfetched, at one time, the use of electronic documents by the courts was also thought of as improbable. In the 1980s, optical disc technology made it possible to store large numbers of documents in electronic format on write-once discs. IBM initially determined the technology to be unworkable for legal purposes because they believed the courts would always require original documents in the place of electronic versions. Thus, attorneys and clients would always be required to maintain storage of original documents and the utility of optical disc storage would be greatly

---

undermined. At that time (in the early eighties), one of the authors (of this paper) wrote a white paper describing how the “write-once” capability of the initial generation of optical devices would make these devices ideal for storing legal, educational, and medical records; the non-erasability feature was a major asset in terms of using these disks for archival use, especially in situations where later questions may arise on what transpired at what point in time.

Today, electronic data is accepted and used by the courts for a wide variety of purposes. For example, the principal rules that regulate document retention and preservation for brokers, dealers, and self-regulatory organization members are Rules 240.17a-3 and 240.17a-4, promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. According to Rule 17a-4, which lays out preservation requirements for those records that must be produced by exchange members, brokers, and dealers pursuant to Rule 17a-3 of the 1934 Act, electronic storage is assumed as the appropriate medium for electronic correspondence and other electronic data.

IV. CONCERNS AND PITFALLS OF OUTSOURCING LEGAL WORK ABROAD

According to the Institute for Global Challenges and the Law, common concerns of those considering outsourcing include ethical, liability, privacy and quality control issues. The issue of whether or not it is lawful to have non-licensed foreigners engage in legal work has come before the San Diego and Los Angeles County Bar associations, among others. While bar associations have consistently found that outsourcing legal work is permissible, as long as it is supervised by a licensed attorney, questions of quality have been met with mixed responses.

Stability of Outsourced Nations

Inherent in LPO practice is the concern over the political and economic stability of the host country. There is some degree of correlation between a nation’s level of development and the prevailing wages there. As a nation’s collective affluence and stability increases, so does the worker’s compensation in many

instances. Given this dichotomy, locations which are attractive for outsourcing purposes may be characterized by a tinge of social or political instability.

The recent uprisings in Mumbai, India and the financial scandal involving Satyam Computer Services deserve to be mentioned here. On November 27, 2008, armed gunmen attacked the city of Mumbai, one of India’s large financial and business centers, killing 101 people and injuring many others. On February 7, 2009, B. Ramalinga Raju, the founder of India-based outsourcing firm Satyam Computer Services, was arrested for accounting fraud estimated to be in the billions of dollars. Some observers opine that Satyam may not be able to continue serving “as the back office for one-third of the Fortune 500, including some of the largest banks, manufacturers, health care and media companies in the world.” Events of this type can potentially impact consumer confidence in outsourcing to India, and outsourcing as a whole, though the extent remains to be seen. John C. McCarthy, an analyst with Forrester Research in New York, believes that scandals such as Satyam’s happen “like clockwork in the high-tech business,” and are thus unlikely to have any real impact on consumer confidence.

Commentators, noting that the current events in India will have a minimal impact on outsourcing, have compared the Mumbai attacks to those of September 11, 2001 and Satyam to the Enron scandal in the United States. They argue that following September 11, there was a general concern that international corporations would leave New York City for fear of future attacks, but these fears never materialized. Likewise, the months following the Mumbai attacks have not seen an exodus of business from the Indian city, but rather a return to the status quo. Overall, it is unlikely that risks of this type will sufficiently offset the value gained through offshoring relationships to deter many.

Attempts to Limit LPO Practice

The National Federation of Paralegal Associations (“NFPA”) released a position statement in July 2005 stating that
while “it may be tempting for some law firms and legal
departments to outsource portions of their legal work, there is not
enough evidence that there is a true cost savings for the average
firm.” The group emphasizes that those looking to outsource
should consider whether cost savings will actually be realized once
costs of management, review time, revisions and formatting have
been factored in.49

Some have made more overt attempts to curb LPO. Joseph
A. Hennessy, an attorney in Bethesda, Maryland, brought suit in
the Federal Court for the District of Columbia seeking declaratory
judgment that LPO is against the law. In May 2008, Hennessy
asked a federal judge to decide whether sending legal work abroad
waives attorney-client privilege and search-and-seizure protections
because the U.S. government monitors communications between
people in the U.S. and people in other countries. The action was
filed against Acumen, an India-based legal outsourcing firm, and
President Bush.50 Mr. Hennessey’s case was dismissed, but he is
now looking for individuals whose legal work has been outsourced
and whose rights may have been infringed upon an effort to form a
future class action lawsuit.51

Ethics of Outsourcing Legal Work Abroad

Several persons have written about the ethical implications
of outsourcing legal activities to counsel not licensed to practice
within the jurisdiction.52 Lawyers may outsource services abroad
to a non-lawyer without breaking any ethical considerations, so
long as lawyers obtain advance permission from the client,
carefully monitor the non-lawyer, and bill the client accordingly.53
Unique issues are raised in the case of patent filing, because of

48 WAYNE D. AKIN, NAT’L FED’N OF PARALEGAL ASS’NS, POSITION
STATEMENT ON THE OUTSOURCING OF PARALEGAL DUTIES TO FOREIGN
COUNTRIES (2005),
pdf.
49 Id.
50 Caryn Tamber, Outsourcing Challenge Dropped For Now by Bethesda
Lawyer, DAILY RECORD (Baltimore, MD), Sept. 4, 2008, available at 2008
WLNR 25721556.
51 Id.
52 See Mary C. Daly & Carole Silver, Flattening the World of Legal
Services? The Ethical and Liability Minefields of Offshoring Legal and Law-
Related Services, 38 GEO. J. INT’L L. 401 (2007). See also Aaron R. Harmon,
The Ethics of Legal Process Outsourcing—Is the Practice of Law a “Noble
53 Gupta et al., Outsourcing From the Perspectives of International
Protocols, Law, Intellectual Property, and Taxation (Ariz. Legal Studies
Discussion Paper No. 09-18, 2009), available at
restrictions emerging from technology export laws. The US Commerce Department allows export waivers for technology, as well as blanket export waivers, to avoid such issues. In fact, blanket export licenses have been obtained by many multinational corporations in order to support their transactions.

A recent American Bar Association ("ABA") opinion resoundingly endorsed the outsourcing of legal tasks. The decision concluded that:

There is nothing unethical about a lawyer outsourcing legal and nonlegal services, provided the outsourcing lawyer renders legal services to the client with the ‘legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation,’ as required by Rule 1.1.

The ABA went on to note the various benefits that outsourcing can have ranging from cost reduction, to providing labor-intensive legal services in a time-saving manner, to allowing small firms to compete with larger firms by allowing them access to qualified personnel without having to employ them full time.

Privacy Issues for Clients

Due to the confidential nature of the work engaged in by lawyers, either protected under the work product doctrine or as part of the attorney-client privilege, lawyers are often hesitant to hand over client-related information to outside counsel. Although a client may have agreed and even requested that certain tasks be outsourced as a method of cost control, it is the attorney’s job to

---


56Gupta et al., supra note 55, at 1.

57Id. at 2.

58The work product doctrine describes the protection from discovery that is accorded to that portion of an attorney's trial preparation materials representing mental impressions or opinions, generally referred to as “opinion” work product. The doctrine is codified in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the respective rules of the various states. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(b)(3).
make sure that the client is protected from any unauthorized use or distribution of protected materials.

One particular area in which privacy is a concern is intellectual property, or IP. Intellectual property, by its very nature, must be safeguarded in order to have value. This idea is embodied in U.S. copyright law which only protects things that are not common knowledge or in the public domain. According to U.S. copyright law:

Copyright protection subsist . . . in original works of authorship fixed in any tangible medium of expression, now known or later developed, from which they can be perceived, reproduced, or otherwise communicated, either directly or with the aid of a machine or device.\(^59\)

The United States has copyright relations with many countries; as a result of these agreements, we honor copyrights on a reciprocal basis. For members of the World Trade Organization,\(^60\) the TRIPS\(^61\) Agreement establishes minimum levels of copyright and other IP protection to be extended to other members. However, the United States does not have such copyright relationships with every country.\(^62\)

**Privacy Issues for Lawyers**

Privacy issues arise not only in regard to client information, but also in relation to firm information. Firms need to identify the types of information that should not be transmitted outside of the business and then establish procedures to prevent such occurrences. LPO providers in turn must also have security measures in place to prevent undesired transmission of protected information.

LPO providers have attempted to secure both firm and client proprietary information through extensive security procedures. At the Integreon Managed Solutions (“Integreon”) facilities in Mumbai and Gurgaon, guards search employee belongings to ensure they are not carrying electronic storage

---


\(^{60}\) As of July 23, 2008 the WTO had 153 members. See Welcome to the WTO Website, http://www.wto.org/ (last visited Apr. 13, 2009).


devices such as flash drives or laptops. The facility’s computers are not equipped with disc drives, usable USB ports or compact disc burners, and most do not have printing capabilities. These “dedicated delivery centers” are accessible only via fingerprint scan; further, they are continuously monitored by security cameras, and do not store saved data locally. Rather, the lawyers work directly on the client's server and only over a secure line or via the Internet.\

\textit{ERISA and FLSA Compliance}

One of the difficulties faced by employers seeking to enter into LPO arrangements is compliance with the complicated federal schemes of ERISA and the FLSA. ERISA, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, passed by Congress in 1974, sets minimum standards for most voluntarily established pension and health plans in private industry to provide protection for individuals in these plans. ERISA specifically relates to outsourcing in that section 510 prohibits an employer from discharging an employee for the purpose of interfering with the attainment of any right which the employee is entitled to under the Act. Either terminating an employee or changing an employee’s status to independent contractor to save a benefits cost to the employer has been held to be an ERISA violation by the courts.

Benefits costs are often one of an employer’s highest costs, second only to payroll. Thus, cost/benefit analysis undoubtedly goes into an employer’s decision of whether or not to outsource. In \textit{Inter-Modal Rail Employees Association v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Co.}, the U.S. Supreme Court found that an employer railroad company could be liable under ERISA’s section 510 for transferring work from railroad's wholly-owned subsidiary to an independent corporation for the purpose of depriving association members of pension and welfare benefits. Thus, employers should be wary that when outsourcing certain positions they are not terminating an employee or class of employees that

\begin{itemize}
\item[{63}] Suzanne Barlyn, \textit{Call My Lawyer ... in India}, \textit{TIME MAG.}, Apr. 3, 2008, at 1, available at 2008 WLNR 6259428.
\item[{65}] Id. § 1140.
\item[{68}] Inter-Modal Rail Employees Ass’n v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co., 520 U.S. 510 (1997).
\end{itemize}
have attained, or come close to attaining ERISA benefits such as pensions.\textsuperscript{69} Luckily for those seeking to enter into LPO arrangements, laying off current employees will most likely not be necessary.

The Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") is another federal law that those entering into LPO relationships must be aware of. Passed in 1938, the FLSA established the federal minimum wage, requirements for overtime pay, and prohibitions against child labor. Firms need to be aware that even though they may have contracted with an outside LPO provider, this does not mean that they are exempt from FLSA compliance and oversight of that outside provider. For example, in \textit{Zheng v. Liberty Apparel}, a garment manufacturer who had contracted with an outside contractor was found to be a "joint employer" of the contractor’s employees for purposes of the FLSA.\textsuperscript{70} While the FLSA may not extend to LPO providers overseas, in light of the \textit{Zheng} opinion, those looking to outsource domestically should ensure their LPO provider is FLSA compliant.

V. \textbf{LEGAL PROCESS OUTSOURCING MODELS}

A current trend in the legal industry is to conceptualize legal tasks as a set of commodities. Clients can then designate certain tasks that they want one law firm to perform and then select another law firm to perform another task. This process has been termed "unbundling," and is based on a client’s assessment of a firm’s cost or quality. For example, when DuPont needed external help in managing documentary evidence for product liability cases, it employed Office Tiger, a large business process outsourcing provider.\textsuperscript{71} Interestingly, DuPont added the stipulation that the Office Tiger staff working on DuPont cases could work solely on DuPont related matters.\textsuperscript{72}

However, engaging in LPO with OfficeTiger was not as simple as signing a contract. The decision-making process took nearly two years to complete because of the myriad of factors that

---


\textsuperscript{70} Zheng v. Liberty Apparel Co., 355 F.3d 61, 63-64 (2d Cir. 2003).


\textsuperscript{72} \textit{India - Corporate Counsel DuPont Legal Again Sets The Pace - Outsourcing Judgment-Based Tasks}, METRO. CORP. COUNS., Nov. 2006, at 59.
had to be considered. For instance, U.S. export laws control the information regarding new technology that can be sent abroad. DuPont, a company founded and driven by technology, was concerned with this issue and reacted by hiring a U.S. law firm to conduct those tasks that could not be performed abroad. With respect to work that could be sent abroad, DuPont conducted onsite interviews and employed its own staff to impart hands-on training to the foreign employees.\(^\text{73}\)

**Standardized Legal Certification Testing**

The Global Legal Professional Certification ("GLP") test has recently been introduced in India, and is gaining use worldwide, to aid in distinguishing the most talented of the nearly 200,000 law school graduates each year.\(^\text{74}\) The exam tests four topics: English, technology and professional ethics, personal effectiveness, and legal knowledge and proficiency. However, as Maya Karwande notes:

> Although the GLP may present an interesting concept in regard to the idea of a certification test for the LPO industry, it is important to note who is behind the program. Although reputable companies aided in the design of the test, the top companies of the industry were not involved. In order for a certification test have real relevance, a broader network of consultation and input from LPO companies will be necessary. In addition, it may be beneficial to involve the American Bar Association as a way to form a link between a business and legal solution.\(^\text{75}\)

Legal outsourcing is not only a means to lower costs, but also to make the most efficient use of time. Consider the following example. Andrew Corporation, a communications equipment manufacturer, acquired a division of Deltec Telesystems, a New Zealand manufacturer, and decided to use local IP firm that Deltec


had originally used for filing patents.\textsuperscript{76} This particular IP firm was well known in the U.S. and other world markets for filing radio frequency patents. Due to the firm’s good reputation, Andrew Corporation sent all of their U.S. radio frequency patent work to the foreign IP Company. Moreover, due to the differing time zones, the IP firm could research or review drafts, and send them to Andrew Corporation before the latter’s work day began the following day. This decreased the total amount of time involved in filing patents and acts as a case study of a true 24-hour knowledge factory that is discussed in the next section of this paper.

The adoption of the offshoring model for legal tasks serves as the harbinger of the day when corporations will offshore other business functions to foreign locations in order to cut costs, improve quality, and provide greater dividends to their shareholders. Human resources, marketing, advertising and strategic planning are examples of business functions that were traditionally performed in-house and will gradually witness phased migration to foreign pastures. Traditional spatial and temporal considerations will become notions of the past, with growing blending of on-shore and off-shore activities.

\textit{Limitation of Liability Agreements}

The adoption of offshoring for legal tasks serves as the harbinger of the day when corporations will offshore other business functions to foreign locations in order to cut costs, improve quality, and provide greater dividends to their shareholders. Human resources, marketing, advertising and strategic planning are examples of business functions that were traditionally performed in-house and will gradually witness phased migration to foreign pastures. Traditional spatial and temporal considerations will become notions of the past, with growing blending of on-shore and off-shore activities.

\textit{Limitation of Liability Agreements}

The incorporation of limited liability clauses into agreements serves to strengthen LPO arrangements and to promote LPO practice as a whole. The ethics rules governing lawyers state that “[a] lawyer shall not . . . make an agreement prospectively limiting the lawyer's liability to a client for malpractice unless the client is independently represented in making the agreement.”\textsuperscript{77} Thus, the lawyer may prospectively limit his or her liability if “(1) the jurisdiction’s law permits it, and (2) the client consults another lawyer before agreeing to the limitations.”\textsuperscript{78} The comment to Model Rule 1.8 warns that such agreements “are likely to undermine competent and diligent representation” and “many clients are unable to evaluate the desirability of making such an agreement before a dispute has arisen.” The comment also notes that Model Rule 1.8 does not preclude lawyers from agreeing with the client to define the scope of representation under Model Rule 1.2, “although a definition of scope that makes the obligation of

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item [77] \textsc{Model Rules of Prof’l Responsibility} R. 1.8(h)(1)(2002).
\item [78] A.B.A./B.N.A. LAW. MANUAL ON PROF’L CONDUCT § 31:201 (2007) (noting in “Practice Guides--Lawyer-Client Relationship—Competence” section that most jurisdictions have adopted the Model Rules version of Rule 1.1. New Hampshire imposes more detailed competency requirements that impose additional responsibilities).
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
VI. LPO PROVIDERS

As a newly emerging legal service, companies that offer LPO vary widely in their business structures and the services they provide. SDD Global Solutions (“SDD”) is an LPO provider with offices in Mysore, Bangalore, New York, and London that offers high-end legal research, analysis and drafting, as well as patent and immigration visa services. SDD has U.S.-licensed attorneys, and outsources legal services managed by the U.S. law firm of SmithDehn LLP, to India. SDD’s clients include motion picture studios, law firms, retail brands, television companies, publishing houses, and manufacturers. It has received funding from State Bank of India and investments from organizations such as Cisco Systems, Barclays Capital, and one of the Asia’s largest hedge funds. SDD sees itself as solving one of the most urgent problems of today’s businesses, namely, the inefficiencies of having legal work done in locations that often are among the most expensive, and the least productive.80

Integreon81 is another well-known LPO provider with approximately 2,000 worldwide associates located onshore in Fargo, North Dakota and Manhattan, New York, and offshore in India and the Philippines.82 The company allows clients to package services such as discovery management consulting, data processing, online hosting, and document review in an a la carte manner, with fixed-price document options. Customers can also choose to have either U.S. or foreign attorneys work on their projects. Integreon attempts to assuage customer confidentiality concerns by promoting its “combination of physical and virtual measures [to] protect [client] data and . . . ISO 27001 security certification.”83 Integreon also employs workers across separate time zones to achieve a 16-hour, virtually round-the-clock workforce.

82 Id.
As indicated by the above examples, LPO providers have carved out specific spheres for themselves in specific areas of the law, catering to certain types of firms and clients. Additionally, each packages its offerings in ways best suited to their respective clientele.

VII. CONCLUSION

Legal process outsourcing, if utilized in a careful, well-planned manner, can efficiently utilize firm and client resources. Small and sole practitioners stand to greatly benefit from outsourcing arrangements because they allow access to quality legal services and experts at a fraction of the cost, thereby leveling the playing field between clients with disparate funds to contribute to dispute resolution. Most firms already outsource some segment of back office activities, and large, global firms have gradually embraced LPO in their continuing efforts to cut costs, streamline operations, and dedicate employee activities to core functions. Outsourcing of legal processes has not yet occurred in our nation’s judiciary, but as public monies are restricted and courts are forced to look toward new cost-cutting avenues, LPO may become a more attractive option. However, whether entered into by public or private entities, there are many considerations to be assessed prior to achieving a successful LPO relationship. Due diligence should ensure that firms, no matter what the size, are able to take advantage of the benefits LPO has to offer.